REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-11 are presently pending in this application. The specification is objected to, and each of claims 1-11 are substantively rejected. A Preliminary Amendment was filed via facsimile on August 12, 2003. In a telephone conference between the Examiner and the undersigned on June 21, 2004, it was determined that the Preliminary Amendment has not yet been matched with to file. To expedite prosecution, Applicant submits herewith a copy of that Preliminary Amendment along with an "Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission" sheet, evidencing successful transmission to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Claims 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 10 are currently amended to address possible antecedent basis issues.

Objection to the Specification

The disclosure was objected to because a Brief Description of the Drawing is absent. Applicant has amended the Specification to include a Brief Description of the Drawings. Withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The Office Action alleges that the relationship between the claimed process steps to the flow chart in the drawing is unclear, and requests explanation of where the claimed steps are located in the flow chart. The Office Action also alleges that recitation of "precipitation of metal" in claim 3 is unclear.

Flow Chart

According to MPEP 2174, if a description or the enabling disclosure of a specification is not commensurate in scope with the subject matter encompassed by a claim, that fact alone does not render the claim imprecise or indefinite or otherwise not in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph; rather, the claim is based on an insufficient disclosure (35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph) and should be rejected on that ground.

Appl. No. 09/830,830 Amdt. dated June 28, 2004 Reply to Office Action of February 27, 2004

For the purposes of expediting prosecution, however, the issue of whether the claims are supported by a sufficient disclosure is address as follows. It is well established the an enabling disclosure is not limited to the drawings; claims can find support in the specification. Elements of presently pending claim 1 can find support in specification as follows.

See, for example, page 3, lines 4-10.

Thus, according to the present invention, there is now provided a hydrometallurgical process for producing metal-containing sulfide ores and concentrates, comprising reacting said metal-containing sulfide with concentrated sulfuric acid at a temperature of between about 300°C and 400°C in the presence of oxygen to produce a solid metal sulfate product and a gaseous product which is primarily SO₃, wherein said metal is selected from the group consisting of iron, copper, zinc, nickel, cobalt and manganese.

See also the paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6, referring to Example 1. A sample of sulfide waste was milled in a ball mill to a size -75 micron -100%, then it was mixed at room temperature with concentrated sulfuric acid in a ratio 1:0.94 by weight. Mixing was made during 30 min., then the mixture obtained was loaded to a tube furnace, where the sulfatization process occurred at 338°C. Air was blown during the sulfatization process. The amount of air required was 1900 l/1 kg of sulfide waste, that is 200% of the amount theoretically required according to reaction (7). Sulfatization time is 2 hours. Gas SO₃ escaping at sulfatization is forwarded to scrubbers for precipitations I, II or III.

It is respectfully submitted that the presently pending claims find support in the specification. Illustrative representations of claim elements can also be found in Fig. 1, toward the top of the figure. For example, sulfuric acid can be represented as the "H₂SO₄," the sulfidic materials can be represented as the "sulfide waste," and the reaction between the two can be represented by the "mixing" and the "sulfatization." SO₃ can be represented by the SO₃.

Claim 3

The Preliminary Amendment of August 12, 2003 amended claim 3 to recite "metallic sulfates" instead of "metal" thus mooting this rejection. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

As an informal matter, it is noted that the instant application names a sole inventor, and not joint inventors, as suggested by the Office Action on page 3.

First Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly obvious in light of U.S. Patent No. 4,003,740 to Huggins et al. ["Huggins"]. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

According to MPEP 2142, establishment of a *prima facie* case of obviousness requires, among other things, that the cited reference, or an obvious modification thereof, must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. Applicant respectfully submits that the Office Action has not met either of these requirements.

Briefly, presently pending claim 1 is drawn to a method that includes, *inter alia*, reacting metal-containing sulphidic materials with concentrated sulfuric acid in the presence of oxygen to produce a solid metal sulfate product

Huggins is cited as teaching a process of forming copper sulfate from ore using concentrated sulfuric acid. The Action acknowledges that Huggins fails to explicitly disclose reacting sulfidic materials in the presence of oxygen, but asserts that it would have been obvious to the artisan to expect oxygen to be present during the reaction. Although it is not clear whether this is an inherency rejection, an obviousness rejection, or both, it is submitted that the cited reference renders the presently pending claims neither inherently anticipated nor obvious.

Huggins describes the liberation of oxygen during a "roasting" step, wherein sulfate is heated to produce a roasted solid. See Huggins at col. 3, lines 41-57, col. 4, lines 37-49, and claim 1, step (f). However, this roasting step is reported as occurring *subsequent* to the step where sulfidic residual material is treated with sulfuric acid and heat to form the sulfate. See Huggins at col. 4, lines 29-36 and claim 1, step (c). Thus, even assuming the proposed modification of Huggins, this reference still fails to disclose a method that includes reacting metal-containing sulphidic materials with concentrated sulfuric acid in the presence of oxygen to produce a solid metal sulfate product, as recited in presently pending claim 1.

Appl. No. 09/830,830 Amdt. dated June 28, 2004 Reply to Office Action of February 27, 2004

Applicant submits, consequently, that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been made with respect to claim 1. Claims 2-11 depend from, either directly or indirectly, claim 1. Applicant submits that because a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established against claim 1, obviousness does not apply to claims 2-11. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Second Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly obvious in light of U.S. Patent No. 3,860,696 to McGauley et al. ["McGauley"]. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As noted above, presently pending claim 1 is drawn to a method that includes, inter alia,

reacting metal-containing sulphidic materials with concentrated sulfuric acid in the presence of oxygen to produce a solid metal sulfate product

McGauley is cited as teaching the production of iron sulfate from iron sulfide mineral feed. Yet McGauley fails to teach or suggest reacting metal-containing sulphidic materials with concentrated sulfuric acid in the presence of oxygen, as presently claimed. And there is no suggestion that such a modification of McGauley would be obvious. What is more, as discussed above, Huggins fails to teach these presently claimed elements, and therefore fails to remedy this deficiency of McGauley. Because McGauley, either alone or in combination with Huggins, fails to teach each and every element of presently pending claim 1, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-11 depend from, either directly or indirectly, claim 1. Applicant submits that because a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established against claim 1, obviousness does not apply to claims 2-11. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Appl. No. 09/830,830 Amdt. dated June 28, 2004 Reply to Office Action of February 27, 2004

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

Marlin Ceul

Nathan S. Cassell Reg. No. 42,396

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: 650-326-2400

Tel: 650-326-2400 Fax: 415-576-0300 Attachments

- Preliminary Amendment of August 12, 2003
- including
 - Transmittal Form
 - Facsimile Communication Result Report, and
 - USPTO Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmittal

NSC:sr 60241252 v1

Page 881

TO:Auto-reply fax to 16503262422 COMPANY:



Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

Unitel States ' Patent and Trademark Office TO:

Fax Sender at 16503262422

Fax Information

Date Received: Total Pages:

8/12/03 5:10:09 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

6 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received	
Cover	
Page	

AUG. 12.2000 1111	AUG. 12, 2000) 1:11PH 17C 09 650 026 2422 PO. 940 0.146							
Under the Pag	apulas Reduction Act o	yf 1606 ng pop	U.S. Patent and U.S. Patent and Danugar are treat	PTO/8 Approved for use through 04/10/2003, OA Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF Sen of returning schools to discharge a vidé OND	121 (05-03) 13 0451 0031 60MM/CRCE			
			Application Number	09/830.830				
TRAN	SMITTAL		Filing Date	April 30, 2001				
F6	ORM		First Named Inventor	Flax, Solomon				
no ne used for all com	aponderico affor in	uhai Ming)	Art Unit	1742				
}			Elaimener Name	Lowis Batteriors, Kit				
Total Humber of Pegas	n This Submesson	6	Attorney Docket Number	082846-000000US				
		ENC	LOSURES (Check of the society					
Fuy Yrangillital Fit	rm.	000	ro(a)	After Allowence Communication				
☐ Hee Assethed		المحمد ا	ing-related Papers	Appeal Correspondation to Board and Interferences	~~~			
Prejimmery Ameno	ment	Pearo	n	Appeal Communication to Group Nation, Seed, Really Select)	(Appeal			
ARM Phak		Potte	n to Convert to a rional Application	Proprietary Information				
A Media-vita-vita-vita-cia	(c)nortena	Power	of Atlarney, Revocation pe of Commispandence Address	[] Stated Latter				
Extension of Time	Request	☐ Terms	hal Disclarner	Other Enclosure(t) (places thereby below)				
Express Abendon	ment Request	☐ Request for Refund ☐ CD, Number of CD(s)						
☐ Intermedion Chacto	eure Statomers							
Certified Copy of F	or tay	The Corretessorer is authorized to charge any additional teed to Depoir Remarks Account 30-1430.			to Uspositi			
Response to Mass Incomplete Applic Response to I	artion Visaing Parts							
		MATHE	OF APPLICANT, ATTORNE	Y OR AGENT				
Firm	Townsend and To			1, 0 1102111				
or Invinctual	Nethan & Cester		Reg.	No. 42,396	1			
Dignatura	Mush	~ a	unelf					
D.Te	12, 2003 العبوسة		·					
			CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I nereby carely that the August 12, 2003	I hereby curify that this correspondence is being Gosuma transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Urfice, Fax No. (704) 672-\$310 on August 12, 2003							
Types or primed name	Typid or primed name Lundi A. Humandar							
Soute	Source Deliver As ferragend Date Jugar 12, 2003							
	The collection of information is modeled by 37 CFR 1.8. The Information is invested to obtain or makes it benefit by the public wittin is in the Ladd by the LOFFLU in more mail or understand. Confidentiality is given and by 38 U.S.C. 122 and 31 CPR 1.14. The collection is estimated to have 12 minutes to collegible, including properties, and absorbing it is complicated by 180 U.S.C. 122 and 31 CPR 1.14. The collection is estimated to the 12 minutes to complete, including the properties of the p							
00018645 -1	00018846 -1							
eved from < 16540262422 > at 2712/	d from < 16540,2834 22 > at 8112/00 5:18:09 PM [Eastern DayAget Time]							

1:13PM) * * *

r. 1

TTI TTC-PA 650-336-2433 ADDRESS (GROUP) RESULT FILE MODE OPTION PAGE MEM<u>ORY</u> TX ##19645#17038729306 OΚ 048 P. 6/6

JUL 0 2 2004

REASON FOR ERROR E-1) HANG UP OR LINE FAIL E-3) NO ANSWER

E-2) BUSY E-4) NO FACSIMILE CONNECTION

PTO/SB/21 (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1895, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

09/830,830 **Application Number** TRANSMITTAL Filing Date April 30, 2001 **FORM** Flax, Solomon First Named Inventor 1742 Art Unit (to be used for all correspondence after Initial filing)

	Examiner Name		er Name	Lewis Baltimore, K.L.
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	6	Attome	y Docket Number	082845-000000US
	ENC	LOSURES	Check all that appl	W .
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawlng(s)			After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Preliminary Amendment	Petition			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Endosure(s) (please Identify below)
Summer Abandonment Beauset	Request for Refund			
Express Abandonment Request	CD, Number of CD(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks The Commissioner Is Account 20-1430.			s authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit
]	i

PTO/SB/21 (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003 OMB 0651-0031

JUL 0 2 2004 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE vork. Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

640 This High		Application Number	09/830,830	
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	April 30, 2001	
FORM		First Named Inventor	Flax, Solomon	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	filing)	Art Unit	1742	
·		Examiner Name	Lewis Baltimore, K.L.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6		Attorney Docket Number	082845-000000US	

Examiner Name					Lewis Baltimore, K.L.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6				ey Docket Number	082845-000000US	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Preliminary Amendm	Petition			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declara	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter		
Extension of Time Re	equest	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below)	
Express Abandonme	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)					
Information Disclosur	re Statement					
Certified Copy of Price Document(s)	Remarks The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposi Account 20-1430.					
Response to Missing Incomplete Application Response to Mis under 37 CFR 1.	on sing Parts			J		
	SIGN	NATURE O	F APPI	ICANT, ATTORNEY,	OR AGENT	
Firm To	ownsend and Tov				OKKOZKI	
or Individual	Nathan S. Cassell Reg. No. 42,396					
Signature	Marlin accel					
Date August 12, 2003						
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) 872-9310 on August 12, 2003						
Typed or printed name Leticia A. Hernandez						
Signature Date August 12, 2003						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5 The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1 14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

There a certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703-632-9310-6) August 12, 2003.

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: Julicus II MINA VX Y

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Solomon Flax

Application No.: 09/830,830

Filed: April 30, 2001

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING METAL-CONTAINING SULFIDE

ORES

Customer No.: 20350

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Prior to examination of the above-referenced application, please enter the following amendments and remarks:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 4 of this paper.

Confirmation No.:

Examiner:

Lewis Baltimore, K.L.

Technology Center/Art Unit: 1742

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

- 1. (currently amended) A hydrometallurgical process for treating [producing] metal-containing sulfide ores and concentrates, comprising reacting said metal-containing sulphidic materials [sulfide] with concentrated sulfuric acid at a temperature of between about 300°C and 400°C in the presence of oxygen to produce a solid metal sulfate product and a gaseous product which is primarily SO₃, wherein said metal is selected from the group consisting of iron, copper, zinc, nickel, cobalt and manganese.
- 2. (original) A process according to claim 1, wherein said metal sulfate product is then leached with a dilute solution of sulfuric acid, having a concentration of between 5% and 35% to extract metal values therefrom and to form a metal-containing solution.
- 3. (currently amended) A process according to claim 2, wherein said SO₃ gaseous product formed from said reaction is subsequently combined with said metal-containing solution in stages to raise the concentration of sulfuric acid in said solution to about 35% to 50% and to effect the stage-wise precipitation of metallic sulphates [metal] from said solution.
- 4. (currently amended) A process according to claim $\underline{1}$ [4], wherein the amount of sulfuric acid upon mixing with one weight portion of sulfide ore is ≥ 0.94 weight portions of 98% sulfuric acid.
- 5. (original) A process according to claim 1, wherein the sulfatization process is carried out at a temperature of between 330°C and 350°C.

Appl. No. 09/830,830 Amdt. dated August 12, 2003 Preliminary Amendment

- 6. (currently amended) A process according to claim 1, wherein the sulfatization process is carried out in the presence of [at constant oxidation with] oxygen from the air, its amount being $\geq 150\%$ of the stoichiometrically required amount to convert the sulphide content of the starting material to SO₃.
- 7. (original) A process according to claim 1, wherein leaching of the sulfatized product is carried out in solution of sulfuric acid, having a concentration of about 15% to 25%.
- 8. (original) A process according to claim 3, wherein separation of metal sulfate from the solution was made by its precipitation in sulfuric acid solutions of 40% 45% H₂SO₄.
- 9. (original) A process according to claim 8, wherein a high concentration of sulfuric acid is achieved by saturation of the solution with gas SO₃ at room temperature.
- 10. (original) A process according to claim 9, wherein purification of sulfuric acid from the admixtures aimed at production of the acid ready for sale was performed by saturation with gas S0₃ up to a concentration of sulfuric acid of 98.3%.
 - 11. (original) A process according to claim 1, wherein said metal is iron.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-11 remain in this application. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6 have been amended. At the time of filing the present application, Applicant included a copy of a letter, dated April 9, 2001, addressed to the International Bureau of WIPO. This letter was originally submitted to WIPO in connection with an Article 19 amendment to the claims of the PCT application. Due to a clerical oversight, the amended claims were not included in the application documents of the present application.

This Preliminary Amendment serves to clarify that the pending claims in the instant US National Stage application are to conform with those as provided in the PCT Article 19 Amendment.

As stated in the letter of April 9, 2001, the claims are amended for the following reasons.

Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the metal containing sulfide ores and concentrates are "treated" and that it is said metal containing sulfide ores and concentrates, i.e., the metal containing sulphidic materials, which are reacted.

Claim 3 has been amended to indicate, as seen in the examples, that the preferred embodiment is a stage-wise process and to clarify the precipitation of metallic sulphates from the solution.

The dependency of claim 4 has been corrected.

Claim 6 has been amended to more precisely describe the claimed subject matter.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Appl. No. 09/830,830 Amdt. dated August 12, 2003 Preliminary Amendment

Respectfully submitted,

Wather Cewill

Nathan S. Cassell Reg. No. 42,396

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: 650-326-2400

Fax: 415-576-0300

NSC:nsc 60014988 v1